Is all Carbon the Same? Fossil Carbon, Violence and Power

This article is part of the publication 15 Years of REDD:

A Mechanism Rotten at the Core

>>> Download the publication in pdf

The underlying assumption behind REDD is a dangerous lie: That the climate impact of all carbon is the same - be it the carbon released from vegetation ('Biotic Carbon') or the carbon that is released from burning oil, gas or coal ('Fossil Carbon'). This assumption obfuscates the fact that the burning of 'Fossil Carbon' is driving climate change. It also conceals the violence, destruction and abuses at the root of the fossil fuel empires. So, what exactly are the differences and why are they so important?

Conventional scientists and decision-makers have largely endorsed the idea that the climate impact of all *carbon* is the same... be it the *carbon* released from vegetation or the *carbon* that is released from burning oil, gas or coal. This is a key underlying assumption behind carbon markets, REDD+, 'net zero emissions', 'zero net deforestation' and now also the so-called 'nature-based solutions.' Essentially, without the assumption that emissions from different sources have the same impact on the climate, carbon offsets could not be established.

Regardless of its source, when carbon comes into contact with oxygen, it turns into the gas carbon dioxide. And it is also true that the excessive accumulation of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere imbalances the climate. Beyond the climate impact, this over accumulation of carbon dioxide is also tied to stories of violence, power and dispossession.

In the context of today's climate chaos, claiming that the climate impact of all carbon is the same is a dangerous lie.

Why do so many people, scientists such as those of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) included, continue to insist that there is no difference between the climate impact of the carbon that is present above the ground and that which is released when oil, coal and gas are extracted from the ground?

Of course it is easier and more convenient to assume that the climate impact of all carbon is the same. It is the basis for claiming that greenhouse gases from different sources (factories, refineries, land use, transport, cement production, energy generation and so on) and even different greenhouse gases (carbon dioxide, methane and other gases linked to industrial production), have the same impact on the climate and that therefore, the damage caused by emissions from one source can be compensated by reducing emissions from another. That is why the scientists advising the UN climate

negotiations converted different gases that cause climate change into equivalent units of carbon dioxide or CO2e. This equivalence is a precondition for <u>offsetting</u>. But *carbon* from different sources is not the same. Claiming that it is, conceals the violent histories, conflicts and oppression related to *carbon* extraction in most parts of the world.

It is crucial to recognize that the climate impact of releasing *carbon* that was locked up underground for millions of years and which is now burned as petroleum, gas or coal in large quantities over a short period of time, is different from the impact caused when the fast-moving carbon cycle in which some *carbon* is temporarily stored above ground, in trees for example, is released.

And why is this difference so important?

Download the illustration in higher resolution

The carbon that circulates in the air, oceans, vegetation and soils (above the ground) is often referred to as *Biotic Carbon*. It can be stored temporarily in any of these places, including vegetation, such as trees. From there, this *Biotic Carbon* can easily be released naturally, through fires, storms or insect outbreaks, to name some prominent examples. Yet, historic large-scale forest destruction, especially in industrialized countries and for the expansion of industrial agriculture, has resulted in much carbon that could be stored in forests instead being accumulated in the atmosphere. Land clearance and deforestation have created an imbalance in the *Biotic Carbon* cycle: too much *Biotic Carbon* is building up in the atmosphere in the form of carbon dioxide. This adds to the carbon dioxide that has accumulated in the atmosphere as a result of fossil fuel burning.

To burn fossil fuels, the ancient deposits of *Fossil Carbon* that have been locked underground for millions of years, have to be destroyed. Those underground deposits are made up of the remains of plants that grew millions of years ago. The process that turned vegetation into *Fossil Carbon* involved huge amounts of time, pressure and heat, and resulted in the carbon becoming extremely concentrated. This high concentration of carbon in the *Fossil Carbon* deposits underground makes it such a powerful carrier of energy. Small quantities of *Fossil Carbon* contain a lot of energy, compared with that contained in wood or charcoal.

In order to turn the *Fossil Carbon* into petrol, diesel and coal, humans need large machines to dig up and extract the carbon in those underground deposits. When this *Fossil Carbon* that has been locked away from the atmosphere for millions of years is burnt as fossil fuel, it will stay aboveground for a considerably long time.

The world's vegetation, oceans and soils can only absorb so much of this excess; and are certainly not able to absorb enough of it, and fast enough, to contain the CO2 imbalance in the earth's atmosphere. As a result, much of this excess *Fossil Carbon* piles up in the atmosphere, where it impacts the global climate.

Most scientists now recognize that the burning of *Fossil Carbon* is driving climate change. Yet, in their talks and policy recommendations they treat *Fossil and Biotic Carbon* as having the same impact on the climate. The consequence is that the climate debate is focused on 'equivalent' greenhouse gases in the atmosphere rather than on preventing that more *Fossil Carbon* is released from its underground deposits.

This is very problematic. By promoting the false assumption that all carbon is the same, scientists and policy-makers are also radically downplaying the fossil fuel industry's contribution as the main cause of the climate chaos. And the damage goes even further. Claiming that all carbon is the same also helps conceal the violence, ecological destruction and the abuse of power that have been the foundation of the corporate fossil fuel empires. It also spreads this violence and abuse to where offset projects are supposedly storing an equivalent amount of the released carbon.

This convenient 'all *carbon* is the same' narrative has allowed fossil fuel companies and all the industries that depend on such fuels to continue business as usual, despite the almost three decades of UN climate negotiations. It has also protected corporate and financial profits, while slogans of 'carbon neutral' or 'net zero emissions' are providing legitimacy for continued corporate destruction.

Making the fossil fuel industry accountable!

Capitalism depends on Fossil Carbon's energy. Fossil fuels are the engine and ingredient that today's globalized (green) capitalism is addicted to. Climate chaos is thus a direct consequence of the constant destruction of underground Fossil Carbon deposits and their burning as fossil fuels. The false narrative of claiming equivalence between Biotic Carbon and Fossil Carbon is clearly political. It allows the fossil fuel industry and its allies to continue their very profitable and destructive businesses. Such an equivalence is another example of the power of the fossil fuel industry, which has over the last two centuries destroyed and militarized the territories it is occupying to extract, process and transport Fossil Carbon along immense networks of infrastructure, pipelines, roads, ports and waterways, all the way to the deadly polluting refineries and the pollution hotspots around them.

When, for example, giant oil company Shell falsely claims to be compensating the *Fossil Carbon* it extracts by planting large-scale tree plantations, at least three lies are being disseminated: the first is that *Fossil Carbon* can be made equivalent and its climate damage compensated with the temporary storage of *Biotic Carbon* above the ground. The second lie is that the 'climate change problem' is being addressed, while the reality is that <u>offsets</u> allow the continuation of fossil fuel burning. And the third is that 'nature' is just there, empty, for companies to grab as their <u>carbon offset</u> stores. Nothing could be further from the truth.

It is important to expose the lies and false narratives that are behind these assumptions. Buying into the false assumption that *Fossil Carbon* and *Biotic Carbon* affect the climate in the same way simply means that climate chaos, and the on-going destruction of territories, is further accelerated.

Joanna Cabello, WRM Secretariat

Further reading:

<u>Carbon Trading – A Critical Conversation on Climate Change, Privatisation and Power, Larry Lohmann.</u>

What do forests have to do with climate change, carbon markets and REDD+? - A Toolkit for community activists.

Chasing Carbon Unicorns: The Deception of Carbon Markets and "Net Zero".

>> Back to the the publication 15 Years of REDD: A Mechanism Rotten at the Core index