Why insist on using an ineffective mechanism for the climate crisis?

Colombia's highest court, the Constitutional Court, recently issued ruling T-248. This ruling has widespread relevance because it responds to a lawsuit filed by peoples affected by REDD+ projects. The Court evaluated, and ended up recognizing, the claim of an indigenous group in the Amazon, who argued that the project violated several of their rights.

Additionally, the judgement ratified the impacts caused by promoters of this kind of project, as well as the responsibility of the State in protecting the rights of populations. However, the ruling also provides guidance for the regulation of the carbon market. In light of this, Colombian organization Censat Agua Viva asks: Should these kinds of market be regulated, or eliminated? Read the full article in Spanish here.